

## 2018 CIGRE SESSION PAPERS ALLOTMENTS

## 1. More about ALLOTMENTS

Session Papers must be allocated to 3 different Papers Allotments as follow:

- National Committee Allotment (NCA): each National Committee is allocated a set number of paper proposals, to be put forward under their National Committee Allotment. This number of papers allocated to the National Committees is revised every other year, on the basis of the National Committee membership over the two previous years.

National Committees have responsibility for the choice of papers they wish to present, they must see to their high quality and compliance with the Preferential Subjects.
The synopses of papers put forward under the NCA are sent by the National Committees to the Central Office. These synopses are then examined by the Study Committee Chairmen who check that they are within the scope of the Preferential Subjects. Usually they should not be rejected, except if considered as out of scope or if the quality is not sufficient.

- Additional Allotment (AA): National Committees may choose a number of papers to be put forward, in addition to their NCA, under the Additional Allotment.

Papers proposed under this allotment must be sent to CIGRE Central Office by the National Committees or by individual authors in the absence of a NC.
Synopses of papers put forward under this allotment are subjected to selection by the Chairman of the Technical Committee, following advice of Study Committee Chairmen.

- International Allotment (IA): Under this allotment are papers written by authors from different countries, with a clear contribution of each one of the authors required.

Synopses of these papers are chosen and sent to the Central Office by lead author's National Committee or directly to the Central Office when none of the authors come from a country with a National Committee.
These papers are selected by the President on the advice of the Technical Committee Chairman and Study Committee Chairmen.

- Paper synopses should be between 500 and 1000 words to be considered and the Preferential Subject to which they refer must be clearly indicated. Author(s) name and coordinates must be given. National Committees may decide to base their choice on a full paper, but they must still submit a synopsis and the deadline is the same in all cases.
Deadline for reception of synopses at the Central Office is given in the "Call for Papers", it is $30^{\text {th }}$ June 2017.

All synopses should be uploaded by National Committees whatever the allotment on the dedicated website.

The number of Papers which can be allocated to the National Committee Allotment is set up according to the membership figures for 2015 and 2016 and cannot be exceeded - see the document NC Session Papers allotments 2018.
2. National Committee Allotment - NCA

- There were no changes made by the Steering Committee so the same rules apply as in 2016.
- Attribution of National Committee Allotments for the 2018 Session is based on membership figures over the years 2015 and 2016. The 6 allotment categories are as follows:

1) NCs counting 300 members or more : 10 papers
2) NCs counting 200 to 299 members: 7 papers
3) NCs counting 150 to 199 members : 5 papers
4) NCs counting 100 to 149 members : 3 papers
5) NCs counting 80 to 99 members : 2 papers
6) All other officially recognized National Committees: 1 paper

At the Administrative Council meeting of $21^{\text {st }}$ August 2010, it was decided that:

- National Committee Allotments should not exceed $60 \%$ of the total number of papers. Any modification in the allotment categories calls for prior decision by the Administrative Council. This has not been discussed; so, the above categories will be maintained for the 2018 Session papers.
- The total number of proposals (including Additional and International allotment) per National Committee should not exceed 3 times the NC allotment.
- To guarantee a good distribution of the papers over CIGRE's field, each NC should not put forward more than 2 proposals for any one Study Committee.
- SC Chairmen may turn down any proposal - whatever the allotment.


## 2 comments:

- The very high number of proposals from NCs (including Additional Allotment and International Allotment papers) have been in many cases totally out of proportion with the set National Committee allotment. Consequently, it was decided that the proposals put forward under the Additional Allotment will be proportional to the NCA.
- Correlating NC allotments and maximum proposals results in widening the gap between large NCs and small NCs; the best way to reduce the gap without raising the total number of proposals is to recommend that large NCs propose fewer papers than their allowed maximum number (i.e. 3 times the NC Allotment).


## Recommendation:

The list below gives for each NC the set NC Allotment, the maximum number of proposals allowed and for the larger NCs (allotment of 3 to 10 papers), a recommended total number of proposals.

It should be noted that if National Committees put forward the maximum number of proposals allowed, the total number of proposals would be 843 .

Bearing in mind that the optimum number of papers to be selected should be around 400, National Committees are kindly requested to make every effort to keep in mind the recommended number, which would yield a total of 603 proposals.

## NATI ONAL COMMI TTEE ALLOTMENTS - 2018 SESSI ON

| NC | NC Al/otment | Maximum $\mathbf{N 0}^{0}$ <br> of proposals | Recommen <br> number of |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ARAB STATES OF THE GULF | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |  |
| AUSTRALIA | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 |
| BRAZIL | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 |
| CANADA | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 |
| CHINA | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 |
| FRANCE | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 |
| GERMANY | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 |
| INDIA | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 |
| ITALY | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 |
| JAPAN | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 |
| KOREA | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 |
| RUSSIA | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 |
| SPAIN | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 |
| SWEDEN | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 |
| SWITZERLAND | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 |
| UNITED KINGDOM | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 |
| USA | 10 | 20 |  |

NC NCAllotment \begin{tabular}{lll}
Maximum N

$\quad$

Recommended <br>
of proposals
\end{tabular}$\quad$ number of proposals

2) 

| AUSTRIA | 7 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 15 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NETHERLANDS | 7 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 15 |
| NORWAY | 7 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 15 |
| ROMANIA | 7 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 15 |

3) 

| ARGENTINA | 5 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EGYPT | 5 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 10 |
| GREECE | 5 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 10 |
| MALAYSIA | 5 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 10 |
| NEW ZEALAND | 5 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 10 |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 5 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 10 |
| THAILAND | 5 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 10 |

4) 

| BELGIUM | 3 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CHILE | 3 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 8 |
| CROATIA | 3 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 8 |
| CZECH AND SLOVAK REPS. | 3 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 8 |
| DENMARK | 3 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 8 |
| FINLAND | 3 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 8 |
| IRELAND | 3 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 8 |
| POLAND | 3 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 8 |
| PORTUGAL | 3 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 8 |
| SLOVENIA | 3 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 8 |
| UKRAINE | 3 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 8 |

NC NCAllotment \begin{tabular}{ll}
Maximum N ${ }^{\circ}$ \& Recommended <br>
of proposals

$\quad$

number of proposals <br>
is the same as max. $N^{0}$ <br>
<br>
<br>
<br>
<br>
<br>
\end{tabular}

5) 

TURKEY
6)

| ALGERIA | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ANDEAN NATIONAL CTEE | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| BOSNIA HERZEGOVINA | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| ESTONIA | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| ICELAND | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| INDONESIA | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| IRAN | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| ISRAEL | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| JORDAN | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| MACEDONIA | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| MEXICO | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| MONTENEGRO | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| SERBIA | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ |

TOTAL NUMBER OF

6
2

3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3 NC ALLOTMENT PAPERS 281
Maximum allowed number of NC proposals: 843
Maximum recommended
Number of NC proposals: 603

It is recalled that a very careful and stringent selection of the proposals is required from all National Committees to guarantee the high quality of Session papers.

